UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,198	06/20/2001	Augustin T. Chen	393325	5726
Kenneth D. Goo	7590 01/08/201 etz	EXAMINER		
Lathrop & Gage, LC Suite 2800 2345 Grand Boulevard			SASTRI, SATYA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kansas City, MI 64108			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
N 41 CAL 1	09/885,198	CHEN ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SATYA B. SASTRI	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a)    A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (a), but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b), but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (b), but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (c), but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (c), but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of Management (c), but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:</li> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe	enod for payment of the issue fee (an	id publication lee) set in the Notice of			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.				
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required Allowability (PTO-37).	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on <u>13 October 2009</u> and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.					
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:					
	/Satya B Sastri/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796				

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20100105 Part of Paper No. 20100105